#### DIAMOND



Innía



# DIAMOND Workshop 2011

**B.Colicchio, A. Dieterlen** 

**E. Maalouf** 







1

# Outline

Work overview

Deconvolution with non-invariant PSF
PSF Interpolation Z\*MIA

Porting to Macroscope
Optical center
Z\*MIA & EMMA

Conclusions...

# PSF spatial non-invariance – Microscope





- PSF variations
  - > Along the Z axis due to the refractive index mismatch between the specimen and the immersion medium
    - $\Box$  axial asymmetry in the shape of the point spread function
    - $\Box$  increase in size, particularly along the z-axis.
  - Specimen induced aberrations



## The EMMA solution

Knowing "k" PSF in the system (measured or simulated), one should do "k" deconvolution each with a different PSF then combine the results using "k" Masks .



For i = 1,2,...,k Get PSF number i Deconvolve the image Apply the mask Accumulate the sum End



### **EMMA** results



4 beads along the Z axis with 2,25 $\mu$ m of diameter and spaced by 5 $\mu$ m (a') 20,75 $\mu$ m long Parallelepiped along the Z axis with 2,25 $\mu$ m of width (c') Acquisition simulations (b', c') are done using spatial convolution (PSF for each slice)





LLS

EMMA + LLS

# PSF Interpolation – Z\*MIA

- Zernike-Moments Interpolation Algorithm
- Gives the possibility to obtain a fairly accurate PSF estimation at any position using only a few measured one.
- Zernike moments are the result of the projection of an image over a set of Zernike polynomials defined over a unit circle. (2D images)
- ✤ 3D PSF are treated as a collection of 2D slices



Paper in JOSA (J. Opt. Soc. Am. A / Vol. 28, No. 9 / September 2011)

#### PSF Interpolation – Z\*MIA



# Zernike Moments Interpolation - results

• 6 known PSF are used at positions 0, 3, 6, 9, 12 and  $15,75\mu m$ 



• Z-MIA can be applied on other cases, like immersion oil refractive index change due to ambient temperature



NA: 1,4 Coverslip RI: 1,515 Oil RI: 1,515 +/- 0,0005 (23°c) Known PSF at: 10, 15, 23, 28 and 33°c

#### Macroscope case – Lateral variation



The wide field macroscope suffers from sever lateral spatial PSF variations due to the zoom/objective combination



## Macroscope Case – Optical centre

#### Calculate the tilt in a Macroscope PSF







х

## Optical Center (Measured data error)

- Applying the method on measured data will not necessarily produce lines that converge to a single point:
  - Errors in the measurements
  - Errors in the method itself
- Introduce a freedom factor:
  - ♦ Line  $\rightarrow$  collection of probable lines with a degree of incertitude
  - ✤ Zone on probable intersection
  - ✤ Define a criterion as center of mass ...

Highest probability zone

# Macroscope – Porting EMMA

- ✤ A geometric transformation need to be done
  - The PSF variations would be only depending on the radius in a cylindrical system



- ✤ Optical center is calculated
- ✤ PSF are recentred
- ✤ MIA is applied along the lateral axis
- ✤ Tilt is introduced using the information from the Optical center

# **Conclusion and Perspectives**

- ✤ Ways to port EMMA and MIA to the Macroscope case are being investigated
- Optical center estimation method is being developed
- ✤ Side project:
  - Developing a hardware synchronized wide field 3D fluorescence microscope:
    - ✤ Automated XYZ stage
    - Hardware synchronized illumination-acquisition time (cam-shutter)
    - Full control software build as IJ plugin (Java) with automated acquisition list.
  - CUDA-OpenCl implementations (Jcuda under ImageJ Jocl)
  - $\boldsymbol{\bigstar}$  Investigating other image moments to be used with MIA