

# A CLT for Information-Theoretic Statistics of Gram Random Matrices

Malika Kharouf Joint work with W.Hachem, J.Najim and J.Silverstein

October 12, 2010

## The Model: A Non-Centered Random Matrices

Consider a  $p \times n$  random matrices:

$$\Sigma_n=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X_n+A_n,$$

where,

- X<sub>n<sub>ij</sub></sub>, 1 ≤ i ≤ p, 1 ≤ j ≤ n are i.i.d. centered with unit variance and E|X<sub>11</sub>|<sup>16</sup> < ∞.</li>
- ► A<sub>n</sub> is a p × n deterministic matrix with uniformly bounded spectral norm.

# The Model: Information-Theoretic Statistics of Gram random matrices

Linear spectral statistics:

$$\mathcal{I}_n(\rho) = rac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^p \log\left(\lambda_i^{(n)} + \rho\right),$$

where,  $\lambda_i^{(n)}$ , i = 1, ..., p are the eigenvalues of the Gram random matrix  $\Sigma_n \Sigma_n^*$  and  $\rho$  is a nonnegative parameter.

Objective: Understanding the asymptotic distribution of the fluctuations of  $\mathcal{I}_n(\rho)$ , when the dimensions of the matrix  $\Sigma_n$  converge to infinity at the same pace and obtain a simple form of the variance.

# Plan

Motivations: Mutual Information for Multiple Antenna Radio Channels

Asymptotic behavior of  $\mathcal{I}_n(\rho)$ : First-order results Fundamental system of equations Deterministic equivalents

#### Study of the fluctuations

Definition of the variance The Central Limit Theorem

### Outline of the proof of the CLT

The approach: REFORM method Main steps of the proof

The bias

Outline of the proof of the bias term

Motivations:

Mutual Information for Multiple Antenna Radio Channels

## Multi-user MIMO scheme



Figure: MIMO Systems

# MIMO System: Mathematical Model

The *p*-dimensional receiver vector  $\mathbf{r}_n$  is given by:

$$\mathbf{r}_n = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_n \mathbf{t}_n + \mathbf{b}_n,$$

where,

•  $\Sigma_n$  represents the channel matrix which assumed to be random.

#### t<sub>n</sub> is the n-dimensional transmitter vector.

►  $\mathbf{b}_n$  is an additive white Gaussian noise with covariance matrix  $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{b}_n\mathbf{b}_n^* = \rho I_p$ .

Performance indicator: The Mutual Information:

$$\mathcal{I}_n(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho} \log \det \left( \Sigma_n \Sigma_n^* + \rho I_p \right) = \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} \log \left( \lambda_i + \rho \right)$$

Asymptotic behavior of  $\mathcal{I}_n(\rho)$  when  $n, p \to \infty$  at the same rate ?

Asymptotic behavior of  $\mathcal{I}_n(\rho)$ : First-order results

Let  $f_n$  denotes the ST of  $\mu_{\sum_n \sum_n^*}$ , the spectral measure of the eigenvalues of  $\sum_n \sum_n^*$ . Then,

$$\mathcal{I}_n(\rho) = -\int_{\rho}^{\infty} f_n(-\omega)d\omega.$$

Then the asymptotic behavior of  $\mathcal{I}_n(\rho)$  is closely linked to the asymptotic behavior of  $f_n$  as  $p, n \to \infty$  with the same pace.

## State of the art

*F<sup>A<sub>n</sub>A<sup>\*</sup><sub>n</sub>* → *H*, *H* is a deterministic probability measure.
 Dozier and Silverstein (04):
</sup>

$$F^{\Sigma_n \Sigma_n^*} \xrightarrow{\text{weakly}} F,$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへで

where, F is a deterministic probability measure which the Stieltjes transform is a unique solution of a given coupled equation.

## State of the art

*F<sup>A<sub>n</sub>A<sup>\*</sup><sub>n</sub>* → *H*, *H* is a deterministic probability measure.
 Dozier and Silverstein (04):
</sup>

$$F^{\Sigma_n \Sigma_n^*} \xrightarrow{\text{weakly}} F,$$

where, F is a deterministic probability measure which the Stieltjes transform is a unique solution of a given coupled equation.

V. L. Girko (91), Hachem-Loubaton-Najim (07) : Look for a deterministic approximation of the Stieltjes transform f<sub>n</sub> of F<sup>Σ<sub>n</sub>Σ<sup>\*</sup><sub>n</sub></sup>. ∃ a p × p deterministic valued function T<sub>n</sub>(ρ) such that:

$$f_n(-\rho) - \frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Tr} T_n(-\rho) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{a.s} 0$$

### Fundamental equations

Theorem (Girko '91, Hachem-Loubaton-Najim '07) The following system of two equations

$$\begin{cases} \delta_n(\rho) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} \left( \rho \left( 1 + \tilde{\delta}_n(\rho) \right) I_\rho + \frac{A_n A_n^*}{1 + \delta_n(\rho)} \right)^{-1} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} T_n(\rho) \\ \tilde{\delta}_n(\rho) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} \left( \rho \left( 1 + \delta_n(\rho) \right) I_n + \frac{A_n^* A_n}{1 + \tilde{\delta}_n(\rho)} \right)^{-1} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} \tilde{T}_n(\rho), \end{cases}$$

admits a unique solution  $(\delta_n, \tilde{\delta}_n)$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)^2$ . Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f(\lambda) dF^{\sum_n \sum_n^*}(\lambda) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f(\lambda) \pi_n(d\lambda) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{a.s} 0, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C}_B(\mathbb{R}^+),$$

where  $\pi_n$  is the positive measure where  $\delta_n$  is the Stieltjes transform.

First order result: Deterministic equivalents

Theorem (Hachem-Loubaton-Najim '07) Let  $V_n(\rho) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \log(\lambda + \rho) \pi_n(d\lambda)$ . Then we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{I}_n(\rho) - V_n(\rho) \xrightarrow[n,p\to\infty,\frac{p}{n}\to c>0]{} 0.$$

Moreover,  $V_n(\rho)$  admits a closed-form expression

$$egin{aligned} V_n(
ho) &=& rac{1}{
ho}\sum_{i=1}^{
ho}\log\left(
ho\left(1+ ilde{\delta}_n
ight)+rac{\mu_{n,i}^2}{1+\delta_n}
ight) \ &+rac{n}{
ho}\log\left(1+\delta_n
ight)-rac{
ho n}{
ho}\delta_n ilde{\delta}_n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu_{n,i}$  are the singular values of the mean matrix  $A_n$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ 臣 のへで

In the non-centered case, the first-order asymptotic study of the mutual information depends mainly on the limiting behavior of the singular values of the mean matrix  $A_n$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Study of the fluctuations

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ = ● ● ●

In order to study the CLT for  $p(\mathcal{I}_n(\rho) - V_n(\rho))$  we study separately two quantities:

- ► The random quantity p (I<sub>n</sub>(ρ) EI<sub>n</sub>(ρ)) from which the fluctuations arise and,
- ► The deterministic quantity p (EIn(ρ) Vn(ρ)) which yields a bias.

# Asymptotic distribution of the fluctuations: Definition of the variance

Theorem (Hachem-Kharouf-Najim-Silverstein '10)  
Let 
$$\vartheta = \mathbb{E}X_{11}^2$$
,  $\kappa = \mathbb{E}|X_{11}|^4 - 2 - \vartheta^2$  and let  
 $\gamma = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} T^2$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma} = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} \tilde{T}^2$ ,  $\underline{\gamma} = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} T \overline{T}$ ,  $\underline{\tilde{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} \tilde{T} \overline{\tilde{T}}$ . Denote by

$$\Theta_n^2 = -\log\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{n\left(1 + \tilde{\delta}\right)} \operatorname{Tr} TAA^* T\right)^2 - \rho^2 \gamma \tilde{\gamma}\right) \\ -\log\left(\left|1 - \vartheta \frac{1}{n\left(1 + \tilde{\delta}\right)} \operatorname{Tr} \overline{T} \overline{A}A^* T\right|^2 - |\vartheta|^2 \rho^2 \underline{\gamma} \tilde{\gamma}\right) \\ + \kappa \frac{\rho^2}{n^2} \sum_i t_{ii}^2 \sum_j \tilde{t}_{jj}^2$$

Then  $\Theta_n^2$  is well defined.

Some remarks

- The variance is the sum of tree terms: the first term would be the same in the Gaussian case.
- The variance depends on the singular values of the main matrix as well as on its singular vectors.
- ► In the circular case  $(X_{ij} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} X_{ij} e^{i\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha$ ), the second term disappears.

Asymptotic distribution of the fluctuations: The CLT

Theorem (Hachem-Kharouf-Najim-Silverstein '10) The following convergence holds true:

$$\frac{p}{\Theta_n}\left(\mathcal{I}_n(\rho) - \mathbb{E}\mathcal{I}_n(\rho)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0,1),$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where  $\mathcal{D}$  stands for convergence in distribution.

# Proof of the CLT: The approach

#### **REFORM** (**RE**solvent **FOR**mula and **M**artingale).

•  $\mathcal{I}_n(\rho) - \mathbb{E}\mathcal{I}_n(\rho)$  as a sum of increments of martingale.

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへで

Identification of the variance.

## CLT for martingales

Theorem Let  $\Gamma_1^{(n)}, \ldots, \Gamma_n^{(n)}$  be a sequence of increments of martingale with respect to a given filtration  $\mathcal{F}_1^{(n)}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_n^{(n)}$ . Assume that there exists a sequence of nonnegative real numbers  $(\Theta_n^2)_n$  uniformly bounded away from zero and from infinity. Assume that:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{(n)^{2}} | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}^{(n)}\right) - \Theta_{n}^{2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} 0.$$

The Lyapunov's condition

$$\exists \alpha > 0, \quad \frac{1}{\Theta_n^{2(1+\alpha)}} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} |\Gamma_j^{(n)}|^{2+\alpha} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0, \quad holds.$$

Then  $\Theta_n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \Gamma_j^{(n)}$  converges in distribution to  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .

## Sum of martingale differences

We have,

$${\mathcal I}_n - {\mathbb E} {\mathcal I}_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( {\mathbb E}_j - {\mathbb E}_{j-1} 
ight) \left( -\log(1+\xi_j) 
ight) \stackrel{ riangle}{=} \sum_{j=1}^n {\mathsf F}_j,$$

where,

$$\xi_j = \frac{\eta_j^* Q_j \eta_j - \left(\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} Q_j + a_j^* Q_j a_j\right)}{1 + \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} Q_j + a_j^* Q_j a_j}.$$

with  $\eta_j$ ,  $a_j$  are resp. the jth columns of matrices  $\Sigma_n$  and  $A_n$ ,  $Q_j$  is the resolvent of the matrix  $\Sigma_j \Sigma_j^*$  and  $\mathbb{E}_j$  stands for the conditional expectation with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}_j^{(n)} = \sigma(x_1, \ldots, x_j)$ .

## Sum of the conditional variances

Some properties of the function log,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}_{j-1}\left(\left(\mathbb{E}_{j}-\mathbb{E}_{j-1}\right)\log(1+\xi_{j})\right)^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}_{j-1}\left(\mathbb{E}_{j}\xi_{j}\right)^{2}\xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}{p,n\rightarrow\infty}0$$

where (recall)

$$\xi_j = rac{\eta_j^* Q_j \eta_j - \left(rac{1}{n} \mathrm{Tr} \, Q_j + a_j^* Q_j a_j
ight)}{1 + rac{1}{n} \mathrm{Tr} \, Q_j + a_j^* Q_j a_j}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Study of the sum of conditional variances

Standard calculations remain the problem to the study of the asymptotic behavior of the quantities:

$$rac{1}{n} \mathrm{Tr} \, (\mathbb{E}_j Q_n)^2$$
 and  $a_j^* (\mathbb{E}_j Q_n)^2 a_j$ ,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where  $Q_n$  is the resolvent of  $\sum_n \sum_n^*$  matrix.

A good comprehension of the asymptotic behavior of these terms requires a specific study of bilinear forms of type  $u_n^*Q(\rho)v_n$  where at least  $u_n$  or  $v_n$  is a given column of the deterministic mean matrix  $A_n$ .

If  $u_n$  and  $v_n$  are deterministics, Hachem-Loubaton-Najim-Vallet (preprint'10)

 $u_n^*Q(\rho)v_n \approx u_n^*T(\rho)v_n$ 

Asymptotic behavior of the bias:

Theorem (Hachem-Kharouf-Najim-Silverstein '10) *We have*,

$$p\left(\mathbb{E}\mathcal{I}_n(\rho)-V_n(\rho)\right)-\mathcal{B}_n(\rho)\xrightarrow[\rho,n\to\infty]{}0$$

where,

$$\mathcal{B}_n(\rho) = \kappa Cte(\rho, \delta, \tilde{\delta})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

 $\kappa = \mathbb{E}|X_{11}|^4 - 2 - \vartheta^2.$ 

## Outline of the proof of the bias term

The bias term is given by

$$\begin{split} \chi_n(\rho) &= p \left( \mathbb{E}\mathcal{I}_n(\rho) - V_n(\rho) \right) \\ &= p \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \frac{d}{d\omega} \mathbb{E} \log \det \left( \Sigma_n \Sigma_n^* + \omega I_p \right) d\omega \\ &\qquad -p \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \frac{d}{d\omega} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \log \left( \lambda + \omega \right) \pi_n(d\lambda) \right) d\omega \\ &= \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \operatorname{Tr} \left( \mathbb{E}Q_n(\omega) - T_n(\omega) \right) d\omega. \end{split}$$

Then it remains to study the asymptotic behavior of  $\text{Tr} (\mathbb{E}Q_n(\omega) - \mathcal{T}_n(\omega))$ . We prove,

Tr 
$$(\mathbb{E}Q_n(\omega) - T_n(\omega)) - \kappa Cte(\rho, \delta, \tilde{\delta}) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Case of a non-centered separable random matrix model

#### The non-centered separable case

$$\Sigma_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} D_n^{1/2} X_n \tilde{D}_n^{1/2} + A_n,$$

where,  $D_n^{1/2}$  and  $\tilde{D}_n^{1/2}$  are resp.  $p \times p$  and  $n \times n$  deterministic diagonal matrices with nonnegative entries. First-order asymptotic behavior

$$V_n(\rho) = \frac{1}{p} \log \det T_n^{-1}(\rho) + \frac{1}{p} \log \left( I_n + \delta_n \tilde{D}_n \right) - \frac{\rho n}{p} \delta_n \tilde{\delta}_n,$$

where,  $\delta_n = \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr } T(\rho)$  and  $\tilde{\delta}_n(\rho) = \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr } \tilde{T}(\rho)$ , with

$$T_{n}(\rho) = \left(\rho\left(I_{p} + \tilde{\delta}_{n}D_{n}\right) + A_{n}\left(I_{n} + \delta_{n}\tilde{D}_{n}\right)^{-1}A_{n}^{*}\right)^{-1}$$
$$\tilde{T}_{n}(\rho) = \left(\rho\left(I_{n} + \delta_{n}\tilde{D}_{n}\right) + A_{n}^{*}\left(I_{p} + \tilde{\delta}_{n}D_{n}\right)^{-1}A_{n}\right)^{-1}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

### The non-centered separable case

#### The variance:

$$\begin{split} \Theta_n^2 &= -\log\left(\Omega_n(\rho) - \rho^2 \gamma \tilde{\gamma}\right) - \log\left(\bar{\Omega}_n(\rho) - |\vartheta|^2 \rho^2 \underline{\gamma \tilde{\gamma}}\right) \\ &+ \kappa \frac{\rho^2}{n^2} \sum_i d_i^2 t_{ii}^2 \sum_j \tilde{d}_j^2 \tilde{t}_{jj}^2 \end{split}$$

where:

$$\Omega_n(\rho) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} D_n^{1/2} T_n A_n \left(I_n + \delta \tilde{D}_n\right)^{-1} \tilde{D}_n \left(I_n + \delta \tilde{D}_n\right)^{-1} A_n^* T_n D_n^{1/2}\right)$$

and

$$\bar{\Omega}_n(\rho) = \left| 1 - \vartheta \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} D_n^{1/2} \bar{T}_n \bar{A}_n \left( I_n + \delta \tilde{D}_n \right)^{-1} \tilde{D}_n \left( I_n + \delta \tilde{D}_n \right)^{-1} A_n^* T_n D_n^{1/2} \right|$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### Thank you !