Optimal sleep-wakeup modes for small cells in mobile networks

Orange Labs

Salah Eddine EL AYOUBI

with Louai Saker, Richard Combes(Orange Labs) and Tijani Chahed (Telecom SudParis)



GdR ISIS workshop on green radio - June 2012



Mobile networks have a significant energy consumption

- More than 50% of the energy consumption of ICT sectors is due to telecommunication networks
- France Telecom wants to reduce its CO2 footprint by 20% by 2020 compared to 2006 levels
- Mobile networks consumption accounts for 21% of the global power consumption of operators
- Making them green is thus essential



Operator's energy consumption

new mobile networks are being installed: we have to reduce energy consumption while adding new systems



what are the nodes that consume the most in the mobile network?



- base stations are the most consuming nodes (~80%) :
 - optimize them to optimize overall consumption
 - device energy consumption is also essential for increased autonomy

actions to minimize consumption of the access network at short, mid and long terms

short term objective: reduce consumption of 2G/3G/LTE



mid term objective: optimize design of LTE-Advanced networks



long term objective: propose natively green networks



optimize energy consumption of LTE-Advanced: focus on small cell deployments

short term objective: reduce consumption of 2G/3G/LTE

mid term objective: optimize design of LTE-Advanced networks



- energy efficiency of heterogeneous networks
- sleep mode of small cells
- long term objective: propose natively green networks

LTE-Advanced networks will be heterogeneous: small cells will cooperate with macro cells

- heterogeneous networks in LTE-A:
 - operator installed pico cells
 - in traffic hotspots
 - client installed femtocells
 - indoor coverage



- small cells will reuse spatially the spectrum and offload traffic
- they nevertheless
 - generate interference
 - consume energy
- the gains in QoS and in energy concumption are not obvious

the network is modeled as several processor sharing queues that are coupled between them due to interference



- let C_{macro} be the original average throughput of the macro cell
- after the deployment of small cells, two impacts are observed on C_{macro} :
 - positive impact: small cells offload traffic from cell edge and indoor zones
 - negative impact: small cells generate interference on macro users
- the capacity of a small cell is also affected by interference from macrocell
- steady-state probabilities of the number of users in cell i (macro or small):
 - $\Pr[n \text{ users in cell i}]=load_i^n *(1-load_i)$
 - load_i=traffic covered by cell i / capacity of cell i

QoS and capacity are enhanced when more small cells are deployed

- three deployment scenarios
 - light pico-femto: 3 picos and 5 femtos per macro site (in average)
 - medium pico-femto: 6 picos and 15 femtos per macro site (in average)
 - high pico-femto: 9 picos and 30 femtos per macro site (in average)
- QoS defined as the percentage of users having more than 750 Kbps
- capacity is defined as the maximal traffic so that more than 95% of users are satisfied
- Capacity is increased when small cells are deployed



but energy consumption also increases as small cells consume a constant energy



- energy consumption increases
 - even if load of macro cell decreases
 - as small cells consume energy even if they carry no traffic
- sleep mode is needed for decreasing energy consumption

optimize energy consumption of LTE-Advanced by putting small cells in sleep mode

short term objective: reduce consumption of 2G/3G/LTE

mid term objective: optimize design of LTE-Advanced networks



- energy efficiency of heterogeneous networks
- sleep mode of small cells
- long term objective: propose natively green networks

easy: put a small cell in sleep mode when its traffic is low difficult: wakeup it when traffic increases



- when traffic is low in a small cell, it can enter into sleep mode
- when traffic changes, how the small cell will know and wakeup?
- macro cells can send wakeup commands to small cells:
 - via X2 backhaul interface
- intelligent controllers are needed in macro base stations to awaken small cells

optimal controller for sleep/wakeup with complete information: classical MDP

- example: one macro cell and three small cells
 - s_i: small cell i
 - the exact position of each user is known
 - system state: $\mathbf{s} = (n_{macro}, n_{small1}, n_{small2}, n_{small3})$
- Markov Decision Processes (MDP) theory is used
 - derive the optimal control policies for sleep/ wake up
 - reward: energy reduction
 - cost: QoS degradation





illustration of the solution: the optimal policy seems to be a threshold policy

3 users in the macro cell

6 users in the macro cell



- intuitive results
 - if many users are in the small cell coverage area, switch it on
 - if more users are in the macro coverage, you cannot switch off small cells
- interesting result: the optimal policy is, almost, a threshold policy on each small cell

in many practical cases, we have to guess where is traffic POMDP are used

- inaccurate localization methods (e.g. triangulation)
- example: 3 small cells, only small cell 1 is active
- hidden state: s=(n_{macro}, n_{small 1}, n_{small 2}, n_{small 3})
- information known for sure:
 - traffic in macro cell and active small cells
 - $n_{macro} + n_{small 2} + n_{small 3}$ and $n_{small 1}$
- observed state: s_{obs}=(n_{macro}, n_{small 1}, n_{small 2}+n_{small 3})
- ...and some belief b(s|s_{obs}) expressing our confidence in the information:
 - b(s|s_{obs}) =1, if we know for sure where traffic is
 - b(s|s_{obs}) =1/number of states, if we do not have any guess
- Partially Obvervable MDP (POMDP) is used
 - apply action that corresponds to the hidden state maximizing belief



illustration of sleep mode with inaccurate information

- Target throughput = 500 Kbps
- Outdoor small cells (2 per sector), $P_{small} = 50W$



- sleep mode decreases energy consumption, but decreases QoS
- more traffic localization information leads naturally to larger gains

in some cases, information about localization is becoming more accurate with time : Delayed MDP

- localization information may arrive after some delay
 - If complex signaling between cells is involved
- wakeup decisions may be obsolete
 - localization information arrives after a delay T
 - traffic may have changed
- hidden state: s=(n_{macro}, n_{small 1}, n_{small 2}, n_{small 3})
- observed state: s_{obs}=(n_{macro}, n_{small 1}, n_{small 2}+n_{small 3})
- additional information
 - exact traffic localization some times ago
- Delayed MDP (DMDP) is used
 - equivalent to the case where wakeup decision is taken instantaneously, but is effective only after a delay T
 - information about the actions that have been taken in [t-T,t[is necessary when taking a decision at time t



illustration of sleep mode with delayed information

- as small cells are not activated instantaneously
 - negative impact: localization delay decreases QoS
 - positive impact: but also decreases energy consumption
- however, the impact on QoS is too large
 - only a small delay is acceptable if a high QoS is sought



conclusion

- making networks greener is not an easy task
 - more networks are deployed and traffic is increasing
- small cells can increase the capacity:
 - if small cells are authorized to offload macro cell traffic
- sleep mode of base stations is the best way to increase energy efficiency of cellular networks:
 - use resources only when needed
- optimal controllers are needed to drastically increase efficiency:
 - MDP theory is used to wakeup small cells
- Iocalization errors are taken into account using POMDP
- localization delays are taken into account using Delayed MDP
 - only small localization delays are acceptable