

On Parametric and Implicit Algebraic Descriptions of Maximum Entropy models

Ambedkar Dukkipati

Model of independence for Binary random variables

Independence model in “implicit” form

$X = (X_1, X_2)$ be a random vector, where X_1 and X_2 taking values from $\{0, 1\}$.

Probability simplex in this case is

$$\Delta = \{(p_{11}, p_{12}, p_{21}, p_{22}) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^4 : p_{11} + p_{12} + p_{21} + p_{22} = 1\}$$

with the understanding that $P(X_1 = i, X_2 = j) = p_{ij}$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Model of independence for Binary random variables

Independence model in “implicit” form

$X = (X_1, X_2)$ be a random vector, where X_1 and X_2 taking values from $\{0, 1\}$.

Probability simplex in this case is

$$\Delta = \{(p_{11}, p_{12}, p_{21}, p_{22}) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^4 : p_{11} + p_{12} + p_{21} + p_{22} = 1\}$$

with the understanding that $P(X_1 = i, X_2 = j) = p_{ij}$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

▷ X_1 is independent of X_2 translates into

$$p_{11}p_{22} - p_{12}p_{21} = 0$$

a polynomial equations in $k[p_{11}, p_{12}, p_{21}, p_{22}]$.

(when all probabilities are positive then this equivalent to the “odds ratio condition” for independence in 2×2 contingency tables.)

Model of independence for Binary random variables

Independence model in “implicit” form

$X = (X_1, X_2)$ be a random vector, where X_1 and X_2 taking values from $\{0, 1\}$.

Probability simplex in this case is

$$\Delta = \{(p_{11}, p_{12}, p_{21}, p_{22}) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^4 : p_{11} + p_{12} + p_{21} + p_{22} = 1\}$$

with the understanding that $P(X_1 = i, X_2 = j) = p_{ij}$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

▷ X_1 is independent of X_2 translates into

$$p_{11}p_{22} - p_{12}p_{21} = 0$$

a polynomial equations in $k[p_{11}, p_{12}, p_{21}, p_{22}]$.

(when all probabilities are positive then this equivalent to the “odds ratio condition” for independence in 2×2 contingency tables.)

▷ We say that this model is in the *algebraic variety*

$$\mathcal{V}(p_{11}p_{22} - p_{12}p_{21})$$

- On normalization
 - Complex solutions
-

Model of independence for Binary random variables (Contd...)

Independence model in “parametric” form

$X = (X_1, X_2)$ be a random vector, where X_1 takes values from $[m_1] = \{1, \dots, m_1\}$ and X_2 takes values from $[m_2] = \{1, \dots, m_2\}$. $X = (X_1, X_2)$ be a random vector, where X_1 and X_2 taking values from $\{0, 1\}$.

▷ Probability simplex in this case is

$$\Delta = \{(p_{11}, p_{12}, p_{21}, p_{22}) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^4 : p_{11} + p_{12} + p_{21} + p_{22} = 1\}$$

with the understanding that $P(X_1 = i, X_2 = j) = p_{ij}$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Model of independence for Binary random variables (Contd...)

Independence model in “parametric” form

$X = (X_1, X_2)$ be a random vector, where X_1 takes values from $[m_1] = \{1, \dots, m_1\}$ and X_2 takes values from $[m_2] = \{1, \dots, m_2\}$. $X = (X_1, X_2)$ be a random vector, where X_1 and X_2 taking values from $\{0, 1\}$.

▷ Probability simplex in this case is

$$\Delta = \{(p_{11}, p_{12}, p_{21}, p_{22}) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^4 : p_{11} + p_{12} + p_{21} + p_{22} = 1\}$$

with the understanding that $P(X_1 = i, X_2 = j) = p_{ij}$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

▷ Let $\Theta = \{(\theta_1, \theta_2 : 0 \leq \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq 1\}$ and consider a polynomial map

$$f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$$
$$(\theta_1, \theta_2) \mapsto (\underbrace{\theta_1 \theta_2}_{p_{11}}, \underbrace{\theta_1(1 - \theta_2)}_{p_{12}}, \underbrace{(1 - \theta_1)\theta_2}_{p_{21}}, \underbrace{(1 - \theta_1)(1 - \theta_2)}_{p_{22}})$$



Model of independence for Binary random variables (Contd...)

Independence model in “parametric” form

$X = (X_1, X_2)$ be a random vector, where X_1 takes values from $[m_1] = \{1, \dots, m_1\}$ and X_2 takes values from $[m_2] = \{1, \dots, m_2\}$. $X = (X_1, X_2)$ be a random vector, where X_1 and X_2 taking values from $\{0, 1\}$.

▷ Probability simplex in this case is

$$\Delta = \{(p_{11}, p_{12}, p_{21}, p_{22}) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^4 : p_{11} + p_{12} + p_{21} + p_{22} = 1\}$$

with the understanding that $P(X_1 = i, X_2 = j) = p_{ij}$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

▷ Let $\Theta = \{(\theta_1, \theta_2 : 0 \leq \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq 1)\}$ and consider a polynomial map

$$f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$$
$$(\theta_1, \theta_2) \mapsto (\underbrace{\theta_1 \theta_2}_{p_{11}}, \underbrace{\theta_1(1 - \theta_2)}_{p_{12}}, \underbrace{(1 - \theta_1)\theta_2}_{p_{21}}, \underbrace{(1 - \theta_1)(1 - \theta_2)}_{p_{22}})$$

▷ $f(\Theta)$ represents the independence model. Note that f is a polynomial function.

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Model: Say our statistical model is given by the mapping

$$f: \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \tag{1}$$

assigning probabilities as $p_j = f_j(\theta)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, where $f_j, j = 1, \dots, m$ are polynomial (could be rational) functions.

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Model: Say our statistical model is given by the mapping

$$f: \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \quad (2)$$

assigning probabilities as $p_j = f_j(\theta)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, where $f_j, j = 1, \dots, m$ are polynomial (could be rational) functions.

Log-likelihood: Let $(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ sufficient statistic corresponding to sequence of an iid observations. We have *log-likelihood function*

$$l(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^m u_j \log f_j(\theta)$$



Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Model: Say our statistical model is given by the mapping

$$f: \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \quad (3)$$

assigning probabilities as $p_j = f_j(\theta)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, where $f_j, j = 1, \dots, m$ are polynomial (could be rational) functions.

Log-likelihood: Let $(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ sufficient statistic corresponding to sequence of an iid observations. We have *log-likelihood function*

$$l(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^m u_j \log f_j(\theta)$$

Likelihood Equations:

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta_j} = \frac{u_1}{f_1(\theta)} \frac{\partial f_1(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} + \dots + \frac{u_m}{f_m(\theta)} \frac{\partial f_m(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation (Contd...)

Estimation by algebraic methods: The ideal which represents the solutions is

$$\mathfrak{a} = \hat{\mathfrak{a}} \cap \mathbb{R}[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d] ,$$

where

$$\hat{\mathfrak{a}} = \left\langle \underbrace{y_1 f_1(\theta) - 1, \dots, y_m f_m(\theta) - 1}_{}, \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^m u_j y_j \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \theta_1}, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^m u_j y_j \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \theta_d}}_{}$$

Solving by Gröbner bases method Hoşten, Khetan & Sturmfels, *Solving the Likelihood equations*, 2005.

What Commutative Algebra & Algebraic Geometry could offer to Statistics

General role

- ▷ Estimation
 - ▷ Elimination
 - ▷ Enumeration
-

What Commutative Algebra & Algebraic Geometry could offer to Statistics

General role

- ▷ Estimation
- ▷ Elimination
- ▷ Enumeration
- ▷ Algebraic Geometric insights???
 - (Inspired by *Geometric Ideas in Minimum Cross-Entropy* by *Campbell, 2003*)
 - for which we need to embed models in algebraic varieties



What Commutative Algebra & Algebraic Geometry could offer to Statistics

General role

- ▷ Estimation
- ▷ Elimination
- ▷ Enumeration
- ▷ Algebraic Geometric insights???
 - (Inspired by *Geometric Ideas in Minimum Cross-Entropy* by *Campbell*, 2003)
 - for which we need to embed models in algebraic varieties

Broad Approaches

- ▷ Reverse engineering of gene regulatory networks (*Laubenbacher* et al. , 2000 onwards)
 - ▷ Experimental design (*Pistone* et al. , 1998 onwards)
 - ▷ Analysis of graphical models and sampling (*Diaconis, Sturmfels, Pactor* et al. , 1998 onwards)
-

Outline of the Talk

- ▷ Estimation of ME models as polynomial system solving
 - ▷ Gröbner Bases (*Buchberger, 1965*) Fundamentals
 - ▷ Elimination Theorem and Its Application to Estimation
 - ▷ Embedding ME models in algebraic varieties
 - ▷ Concluding Remarks and Discussion
-

Estimation of ME models as polynomial system solving

Kullback's minimum discrimination theorem

(Kullback 1959)

Given a probability space, (X, \mathfrak{M}, R) define a probability measure P as

$$P(A) = Z^{-1} \int_A \exp(T) dR, \quad \forall A \in \mathfrak{M}$$

where T a real valued function on X such that $Z = E_{[R]} \exp(T) < \infty$. Suppose T is P integrable Then

$$I(P' \| R) \geq I(P \| R) = E_{[P]} T - \ln Z$$

ME model

Set Up

- ▷ X is discrete random variable taking values from the set $[m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.
- ▷ The available information is in the form of expected values of some functions $t_i : [m] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ (*feature functions*). That is

$$\sum_{j=1}^m t_i(j)p_j = T_i, i = 1, \dots, d,$$

where $T_i, i = 1, \dots, d$, are assumed to be known.

ME model

Set Up

- ▷ X is discrete random variable taking values from the set $[m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.
- ▷ The available information is in the form of expected values of some functions $t_i : [m] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ (*feature functions*). That is

$$\sum_{j=1}^m t_i(j)p_j = T_i, i = 1, \dots, d,$$

where T_i , $i = 1, \dots, d$, are assumed to be known.

Principle (Information theoretic approach to statistics)

- ▷ choose the pmf that maximize the Shannon entropy functional

$$S(p) = - \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \ln p_j$$

(*equivalent to minimizing Bayes loss in decision theory framework*)

ME model (Contd...)

Lagrangian

$$\Xi(p, \xi) \equiv S(p) - \xi_0 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1 \right) - \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^m t_i(j) p_j - T_i \right)$$

model

▷ Holding $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)$ fixed, the unconstrained maximum of Lagrangian $\Xi(p, \xi)$ over all $p \in \Delta_{m-1}$ is given by an exponential family

$$p_j(\xi) = Z(\xi)^{-1} \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i t_i(j) \right), j = 1, \dots, m,$$

where $Z(\xi)$ is normalizing constant given by

$$Z(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^m \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i t_i(j) \right).$$

(For various values of $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, this is known as “maximum entropy model”.)

ME model (Contd...)

Lagrangian

$$\Xi(p, \xi) \equiv S(p) - \xi_0 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1 \right) - \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^m t_i(j) p_j - T_i \right)$$

model

▷ Holding $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)$ fixed, the unconstrained maximum of Lagrangian $\Xi(p, \xi)$ over all $p \in \Delta_{m-1}$ is given by an exponential family

$$p_j(\xi) = Z(\xi)^{-1} \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i t_i(j) \right), j = 1, \dots, m,$$

where $Z(\xi)$ is normalizing constant given by

$$Z(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^m \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i t_i(j) \right).$$

(For various values of $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, this is known as “maximum entropy model”.)

Various Formulations

(i) Primal (ii) Dual (iii) Kullback-Csiszár Iteration

Towards Algebraic methods

Proposition: *The estimation of maximum entropy model amounts to solving a set of polynomial equations provided that the feature functions t_i , $i = 1, \dots, d$ are positive and integer valued.*

Proof: By setting $\xi_i = -\ln \theta_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, we obtain maximum entropy model as

$$p_j = Z(\theta)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)} ,$$

where

$$Z(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)} .$$

Given the information in the form of expected values of feature functions t_i , $i = 1, \dots, d$, θ_i , $i = 1, \dots, d$ should satisfy following set of polynomial equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^m (t_i(j) - T_i) \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)} = 0.$$



Towards Algebraic methods (Contd...)

proposition: *Given the “sample mean” hypothesis the problem of estimating the ME-model in dual-method amounts to solving set of Laurent polynomial equations.*

Proof: To retain the integer valued exponents in our final solution we consider the constrains of the form

$$N \sum_{j=1}^m t_i(j) p_j = \tilde{S}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, d, \quad (4)$$

where $\tilde{S}_i = \sum_{l=1}^N t_i(O_l)$ denotes the sample sum. In this case Lagrangian is

$$\tilde{\Xi}(p, \xi) \equiv S(p) - \xi_0 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1 \right) - \sum_{i=1}^d \tilde{\xi}_i N \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j t_i(j) - \tilde{S}_i \right). \quad (5)$$

$$p_j(\xi) = \tilde{Z}(\xi)^{-1} \exp \left(-N \sum_{i=1}^d \tilde{\xi}_i t_i(j) \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

where $\tilde{Z}(\xi)$ is a normalizing constant.

Towards Algebraic methods (Contd...)

To calculate the parameters we maximize the dual $\tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{\xi})$ of $\tilde{\Xi}(p, \xi)$. That is we maximize the functional

$$\tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{\xi}) = \ln \tilde{Z} + \sum_{i=1}^d \tilde{\xi}_i \tilde{S}_i . \quad (6)$$

It is equivalent to optimizing the functional

$$\tilde{\Psi}'(\tilde{\xi}) = \sum_{j=1}^m \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \tilde{\xi}_i \tilde{S}_i - N \sum_{i=1}^d \tilde{\xi}_i t_i(j) \right)$$

By setting $\ln \tilde{\theta}_i = \tilde{\xi}_i$ we have

$$\tilde{\Psi}'(\tilde{\theta}) = \sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^d \tilde{\theta}_i^{\tilde{S}_i - N t_i(j)} \quad (7)$$

The solution is given by solving the following set of equations

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\Psi}'}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_j} = 0 , j = 1, \dots, d. \quad (8)$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\Psi}'}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_j} \in k[\tilde{\theta}_1^{\pm}, \dots, \tilde{\theta}_d^{\pm}] , i = 1, \dots, d. \quad (9)$$

Estimation by Minimum I -Divergence Principle

- ▷ Given a prior estimate $r \in \Delta_m$ one would choose the pmf $p \in \Delta_m$ that minimizes the Kullback-Leibler divergence

$$I(p||r) = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \ln \frac{p_j}{r_j} \quad (10)$$

with respect to the given constraints.

- ▷ The corresponding minimum entropy distributions are in the form of

$$p_j(\xi) = Z(\xi)^{-1} r_j \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i t_i(j) \right), j = 1, \dots, m, \quad (11)$$

where $Z(\xi)$ is normalizing constant given by

$$Z(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^m r_j \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i t_i(j) \right). \quad (12)$$

Estimation by Minimum I -Divergence Principle

- ▷ Given a prior estimate $r \in \Delta_m$ one would choose the pmf $p \in \Delta_m$ that minimizes the Kullback-Leibler divergence

$$I(p||r) = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \ln \frac{p_j}{r_j} \quad (13)$$

with respect to the given constraints.

- ▷ The corresponding minimum entropy distributions are in the form of

$$p_j(\xi) = Z(\xi)^{-1} r_j \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i t_i(j) \right), j = 1, \dots, m, \quad (14)$$

where $Z(\xi)$ is normalizing constant given by

$$Z(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^m r_j \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i t_i(j) \right). \quad (15)$$

- ▷ Estimation in this case can be translated to solving polynomial equations, when the feature functions are integer valued. Polynomial system one would solve in this case is

$$\sum_{j=1}^m r_j (t_i(j) - T_i) \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)} = 0. \quad (16)$$

Estimation by Kullback-Csiszár Iteration

Algorithm: The algorithm computes the distribution $p^{(N)}$ which minimizes $I(p^{(N)} || p^{(N-1)})$ with respect to the i^{th} constraint, $1 \leq i \leq d$ if $N = ad + i$, for any positive integer a .

Estimation by Kullback-Csiszár Iteration

Algorithm: The algorithm computes the distribution $p^{(N)}$ which minimizes $I(p^{(N)} || p^{(N-1)})$ with respect to the i^{th} constraint, $1 \leq i \leq d$ if $N = ad + i$, for any positive integer a .

at 0^{th} iteration: $p^{(0)} = r$

Estimation by Kullback-Csiszár Iteration

Algorithm: The algorithm computes the distribution $p^{(N)}$ which minimizes $I(p^{(N)} || p^{(N-1)})$ with respect to the i^{th} constraint, $1 \leq i \leq d$ if $N = ad + i$, for any positive integer a .

at 0th iteration: $p^{(0)} = r$

at 1st iteration: $p^{(1)}$ is given by

$$p_j^{(1)} = r_j \left(Z^{(1)} \right)^{-1} \zeta_1^{t_1(j)},$$

where $\left(Z^{(1)} \right)^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^m r_j \zeta_1^{t_1(j)}$. Considering the first constraint it can be estimated by solving polynomial equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^m r_j (t_1(j) - T_1) \zeta_1^{t_1(j)} = 0, \quad (17)$$

with indeterminate ζ_1 .

Estimation by Kullback-Csiszár Iteration

Algorithm: The algorithm computes the distribution $p^{(N)}$ which minimizes $I(p^{(N)} || p^{(N-1)})$ with respect to the i^{th} constraint, $1 \leq i \leq d$ if $N = ad + i$, for any positive integer a .

at 0th iteration: $p^{(0)} = r$

at 1st iteration: $p^{(1)}$ is given by

$$p_j^{(1)} = r_j \left(Z^{(1)} \right)^{-1} \zeta_1^{t_1(j)},$$

where $\left(Z^{(1)} \right)^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^m r_j \zeta_1^{t_1(j)}$. Considering the first constraint it can be estimated by solving polynomial equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^m r_j (t_1(j) - T_1) \zeta_1^{t_1(j)} = 0, \quad (18)$$

with indeterminate ζ_1 .

at 2st iteration: Similarly we have

$$p_j^{(2)} = r_j \left(Z^{(1)} \right)^{-1} \left(Z^{(2)} \right)^{-1} \zeta_1^{t_1(j)} \zeta_2^{t_2(j)},$$

where $\left(Z^{(2)} \right)^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^m \zeta_2^{t_1(j)}$.

Estimation by Kullback-Csiszár Iteration (Contd...)

Considering the first two constraints in ME distribution can be estimated by solving

$$\sum_{j=1}^m r_j (t_2(j) - T_2) \zeta_1^{t_1(j)} \zeta_2^{t_2(j)} = 0 , \quad (19)$$

along with the previous equations.

Estimation by Kullback-Csiszár Iteration (Contd...)

Considering the first two constrains in ME distribution can be estimated by solving

$$\sum_{j=1}^m r_j(t_2(j) - T_2)\zeta_1^{t_1(j)}\zeta_2^{t_2(j)} = 0 , \quad (20)$$

along with the previous equations.

at N^{th} iteration: In general, when $N = ad + i$ for some positive integer a , $p_j^{(N)}$, for $N = 1, 2 \dots$ is given by

$$p_j^{(N)} = r_j \left(Z^{(1)} \right)^{-1} \dots \left(Z^{(N)} \right)^{-1} \zeta_1^{t_1(j)} \dots \zeta_N^{t_N(j)}$$

and is determined by the following system of polynomial equations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^m r_j(t_1(j) - T_1)\zeta_1^{t_1(j)} &= 0 , \\ \sum_{j=1}^m r_j(t_2(j) - T_2)\zeta_1^{t_1(j)}\zeta_2^{t_2(j)} &= 0 , \\ \vdots & \\ \sum_{j=1}^m r_j(t_i(j) - T_i)\zeta_1^{t_1(j)}\zeta_2^{t_2(j)} \dots \zeta_N^{t_i(j)} &= 0 . \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (21)$$

Gröbner Bases (*Buchberger, 1965*) Fundamentals

Dictionary of Algebra & Geometry

Basic problem of algebraic geometry is to understand the set of points $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$ satisfying a system of polynomial equations $f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0, \dots, f_s(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ where $f_1, \dots, f_s \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Dictionary of Algebra & Geometry

Basic problem of algebraic geometry is to understand the set of points $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$ satisfying a system of polynomial equations $f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0, \dots, f_s(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ where $f_1, \dots, f_s \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Algebraic Variety: A set $V \subset k^n$ is said to be algebraic variety if there exists $f_1, \dots, f_s \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that

$$\mathcal{V}(f_1, \dots, f_s) = V = \{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in k^n : f_i(c_1, \dots, c_n) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq s\} .$$

▷ $\mathcal{V}(f_1, \dots, f_s)$ is uniquely determined by the ideal generated by f_1, \dots, f_s .

Dictionary of Algebra & Geometry

Basic problem of algebraic geometry is to understand the set of points $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$ satisfying a system of polynomial equations $f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0, \dots, f_s(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ where $f_1, \dots, f_s \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Algebraic Variety: A set $V \subset k^n$ is said to be algebraic variety if there exists $f_1, \dots, f_s \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that

$$\mathcal{V}(f_1, \dots, f_s) = V = \{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in k^n : f_i(c_1, \dots, c_n) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq s\} .$$

▷ $\mathcal{V}(f_1, \dots, f_s)$ is uniquely determined by the ideal generated by f_1, \dots, f_s .

Ideal: A subset $\mathfrak{a} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is said to be ideal if it satisfies:

(i) $0 \in \mathfrak{a}$

(ii) $f, g \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $f + g \in \mathfrak{a}$

(iii) $f \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $h \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and then $hf \in \mathfrak{a}$.

▷ Ideal generated by $f_1, \dots, f_s \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$:

$$\langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle = \left\{ f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] : \sum_{i=1}^s h_i f_i, h_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \right\}$$

Dictionary of Algebra & Geometry (Contd...)

Vanishing Ideal of a variety: *Let $E \subset k^n$ be an variety. Then vanishing ideal of a variety is defined as*

$$\mathcal{I}(E) = \{f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] : f(a) = 0, \forall a \in E\}$$

(Can be extended to any arbitrary subset of k^n)

Dictionary of Algebra & Geometry (Contd...)

Vanishing Ideal of a variety: Let $E \subset k^n$ be an variety. Then vanishing ideal of a variety is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}(E) = \{f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] : f(a) = 0, \forall a \in E\}$$

(Can be extended to any arbitrary subset of k^n)

Ideal Variety relations

$$\triangleright \mathcal{V}(f_1, \dots, f_s) = \mathcal{V}(\langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle)$$

$$\triangleright \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle = \langle g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle \implies \mathcal{V}(f_1, \dots, f_s) = \mathcal{V}(g_1, \dots, g_t)$$

$$\triangleright \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{V}(f_1, \dots, f_s))$$

$$\triangleright V = W \iff \mathcal{I}(V) = \mathcal{I}(W)$$



Dictionary of Algebra & Geometry (Contd...)

Vanishing Ideal of a variety: Let $E \subset k^n$ be an variety. Then vanishing ideal of a variety is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}(E) = \{f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] : f(a) = 0, \forall a \in E\}$$

(Can be extended to any arbitrary subset of k^n)

Ideal Variety relations

$$\triangleright \mathcal{V}(f_1, \dots, f_s) = \mathcal{V}(\langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle)$$

$$\triangleright \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle = \langle g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle \implies \mathcal{V}(f_1, \dots, f_s) = \mathcal{V}(g_1, \dots, g_t)$$

$$\triangleright \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{V}(f_1, \dots, f_s))$$

$$\triangleright V = W \iff \mathcal{I}(V) = \mathcal{I}(W)$$

(Hilbert Nullstellensatz: Given a variety, we can recover the ideal up to its radical only in the case of algebraically closed fields.)

Multivariate Division Algorithm and Hilbert Bases Theorem

Division algorithm in $k[x]$: Let $g \in k[x]$. Then for any $f \in k[x]$, \exists unique $q, r \in k[x]$ and $\deg r < \deg g$ or $r = 0$ such that $f = qg + r$.

▷ $k[x]$ is a principle ideal domain (PID).

Multivariate Division Algorithm and Hilbert Bases Theorem

Division algorithm in $k[x]$: Let $g \in k[x]$. Then for any $f \in k[x]$, \exists unique $q, r \in k[x]$ and $\deg r < \deg g$ or $r = 0$ such that $f = qg + r$.

▷ $k[x]$ is a principle ideal domain (PID).

Division algorithm in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$: Consider a monomial order \prec on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, and let $F = (f_1, \dots, f_s)$ be an ordered s -tuple of polynomials in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then every $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ can be written as

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^s q_i f_i + r$$

where $q_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $r \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $r = 0$ or none of the terms in r are divisible by any of $\text{LT}_{\prec}(f_1), \dots, \text{LT}_{\prec}(f_s)$

Multivariate Division Algorithm and Hilbert Bases Theorem

Division algorithm in $k[x]$: Let $g \in k[x]$. Then for any $f \in k[x]$, \exists unique $q, r \in k[x]$ and $\deg r < \deg g$ or $r = 0$ such that $f = qg + r$.

▷ $k[x]$ is a principle ideal domain (PID).

Division algorithm in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$: Consider a monomial order \prec on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, and let $F = (f_1, \dots, f_s)$ be an ordered s -tuple of polynomials in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then every $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ can be written as

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^s q_i f_i + r$$

where $q_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $r \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $r = 0$ or none of the terms in r are divisible by any of $\text{LT}_{\prec}(f_1), \dots, \text{LT}_{\prec}(f_s)$

Hilbert Basis theorem: *Every ideal in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has a finite generating set.*

Multivariate Division Algorithm and Hilbert Bases Theorem

Division algorithm in $k[x]$: Let $g \in k[x]$. Then for any $f \in k[x]$, \exists unique $q, r \in k[x]$ and $\deg r < \deg g$ or $r = 0$ such that $f = qg + r$.

▷ $k[x]$ is a principle ideal domain (PID).

Division algorithm in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$: Consider a monomial order \prec on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, and let $F = (f_1, \dots, f_s)$ be an ordered s -tuple of polynomials in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then every $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ can be written as

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^s q_i f_i + r$$

where $q_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $r \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $r = 0$ or none of the terms in r are divisible by any of $\text{LT}_{\prec}(f_1), \dots, \text{LT}_{\prec}(f_s)$

Hilbert Basis theorem: *Every ideal in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has a finite generating set.*

The ascending chain condition: *Let $\mathfrak{a}_n \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a sequence of ideals such that*

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 \subset \mathfrak{a}_2 \subset \dots \mathfrak{a}_n \subset \dots$$

Then $\exists N \geq 1$ such that $\mathfrak{a}_N = \mathfrak{a}_{N+i}$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Monomial Ideals & Dickson's Lemma

Monomial Order or term order on $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a relation \prec (we use \succ for corresponding 'greater than' on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ which satisfies following conditions

- (i) \prec is a total (or linear) ordering on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$,
 - (ii) if $\alpha \succ \beta$, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ and for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ we have $\alpha + \gamma \succ \beta + \gamma$, and
 - (iii) \prec is a well-ordering on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$.
-

Monomial Ideals & Dickson's Lemma

Monomial Order or term order on $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a relation \prec (we use \succ for corresponding 'greater than' on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ which satisfies following conditions

- (i) \prec is a total (or linear) ordering on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$,
- (ii) if $\alpha \succ \beta$, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ and for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ we have $\alpha + \gamma \succ \beta + \gamma$, and
- (iii) \prec is a well-ordering on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$.

Monomial Ideal

An ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is monomial ideal if there is a set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ (possibly infinite) such that $\mathfrak{a} = \langle x^\alpha : \alpha \in A \rangle$.

Monomial Ideals & Dickson's Lemma

Monomial Order or term order on $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a relation \prec (we use \succ for corresponding 'greater than' on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ which satisfies following conditions

- (i) \prec is a total (or linear) ordering on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$,
- (ii) if $\alpha \succ \beta$, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ and for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ we have $\alpha + \gamma \succ \beta + \gamma$, and
- (iii) \prec is a well-ordering on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$.

Monomial Ideal

An ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is monomial ideal if there is a set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ (possibly infinite) such that $\mathfrak{a} = \langle x^\alpha : \alpha \in A \rangle$.

Dickson's Lemma: Any monomial ideal is finitely generated.

Proof of Hilbert Basis theorem

if $\alpha = 0$ then we are done

Proof of Hilbert Basis theorem

if $\mathfrak{a} = 0$ then we are done

from **Dickson's lemma** $\exists f_1, \dots, f_s \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that

$$\text{LT}_{\prec}(\mathfrak{a}) = \langle \text{LT}_{\prec}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle = \langle \text{LT}(f_1), \dots, \text{LT}(f_s) \rangle$$



Proof of Hilbert Basis theorem

if $\mathfrak{a} = 0$ then we are done

from Dickson's lemma $\exists f_1, \dots, f_s \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that

$$\text{LT}_{\prec}(\mathfrak{a}) = \langle \text{LT}_{\prec}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle = \langle \text{LT}(f_1), \dots, \text{LT}(f_s) \rangle$$

claim: $\mathfrak{a} = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$

Enough to show that $\mathfrak{a} \subset \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$

Pick $f \in \mathfrak{a}$ arbitrary.

Apply division algorithm:

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^s q_i f_i + r$$

we have: no term of r is divisible by $\text{LT}(f_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$.

We have $r \in \mathfrak{a}$ and hence $\text{LT}(r) \in \langle \text{LT}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$.

Hence $\text{LT}(r)$ is divided by one of $\text{LT}(g_i)$, $\implies \longleftarrow$

Hence $r = 0$ and hence $f \in \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$

Gröbner Bases

definition

Consider $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and fix a monomial order. Given any ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, a finite subset $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ is said to be Gröbner basis if

$$\langle \text{LT}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle = \langle \text{LT}(f_1), \dots, \text{LT}(f_s) \rangle$$



Gröbner Bases

definition

Consider $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and fix a monomial order. Given any ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, a finite subset $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ is said to be Gröbner basis if

$$\langle \text{LT}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle = \langle \text{LT}(f_1), \dots, \text{LT}(f_s) \rangle$$

Other characterizations of Gröbner Bases

- ▷ $G \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a Gröbner bases if and only if for any $f \in \mathfrak{a}$ there exists $g \in G$ such that $\text{LM}(g) \mid \text{LM}(f)$
 - ▷ $G \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a Gröbner bases if and only if for any $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ we have $f \in \mathfrak{a} \Leftrightarrow \eta_G(f) = 0$
-

Gröbner Bases

definition

Consider $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and fix a monomial order. Given any ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, a finite subset $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ is said to be Gröbner basis if

$$\langle \text{LT}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle = \langle \text{LT}(f_1), \dots, \text{LT}(f_s) \rangle$$

Other characterizations of Gröbner Bases

- ▷ $G \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a Gröbner bases if and only if for any $f \in \mathfrak{a}$ there exists $g \in G$ such that $\text{LM}(g) | \text{LM}(f)$
- ▷ $G \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a Gröbner bases if and only if for any $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ we have $f \in \mathfrak{a} \Leftrightarrow \eta_G(f) = 0$

Buchberger's algorithm

Some Applications

- ▷ Solving system of polynomial equations
- ▷ Intersection Ideals
- ▷ Kernel of ring homeomorphism
- ▷ Quotient Ideals
- ▷ Basis for k vector space $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$

$$\mathcal{B} = \{x^\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n, \text{LM}(g_i) \nmid x^\alpha, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$$

where $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ is a Gröbner basis.

- ▷ Elimination methods
-

Elimination Theorem and Its Application to Estimation

Elimination Theorem

(Buchberger 1987)

Elimination order: Consider $k[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$ a polynomial ring in indeterminate $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$. We refer to $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ as x -variables and $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ as y -variables. Let \prec_x and \prec_y be monomial orderings on x and y variables respectively. Define an ordering relation \prec on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+m}$ (i.e. set of all monomials in indeterminate $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$) as follows:

$$x^{\alpha^{(1)}} y^{\beta^{(1)}} \prec_{[x \succ y]} x^{\alpha^{(2)}} y^{\beta^{(2)}} \iff \begin{cases} \alpha^{(1)} \prec_x \alpha^{(2)} \\ \text{or} \\ \alpha^{(1)} = \alpha^{(2)} \text{ and } \beta^{(1)} \prec_y \beta^{(2)} \end{cases},$$

where $\alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ and $\beta^{(1)}, \beta^{(2)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$. The term order $\prec_{[x \succ y]}$ is called elimination order with the x variables larger than the y variables (which is indeed a term order).

Elimination Theorem

(Buchberger 1987)

Elimination order: Consider $k[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$ a polynomial ring in indeterminate $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$. We refer to $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ as x -variables and $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ as y -variables. Let \prec_x and \prec_y be monomial orderings on x and y variables respectively. Define an ordering relation \prec on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+m}$ (i.e. set of all monomials in indeterminate $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$) as follows:

$$x^{\alpha^{(1)}} y^{\beta^{(1)}} \prec_{[x \succ y]} x^{\alpha^{(2)}} y^{\beta^{(2)}} \iff \begin{cases} \alpha^{(1)} \prec_x \alpha^{(2)} \\ \text{or} \\ \alpha^{(1)} = \alpha^{(2)} \text{ and } \beta^{(1)} \prec_y \beta^{(2)} \end{cases},$$

where $\alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ and $\beta^{(1)}, \beta^{(2)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$. The term order $\prec_{[x \succ y]}$ is called elimination order with the x variables larger than the y variables (which is indeed a term order).

Elimination Ideal: l^{th} -elimination ideal of an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with respect to an elimination order $x_1 \succ x_2 \dots \succ x_n$ is defined as $\mathfrak{a}_l = \mathfrak{a} \cap k[x_{l+1}, \dots, x_n]$.

Elimination Theorem

(Buchberger 1987)

Elimination order: Consider $k[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$ a polynomial ring in indeterminate $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$. We refer to $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ as x -variables and $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ as y -variables. Let \prec_x and \prec_y be monomial orderings on x and y variables respectively. Define an ordering relation \prec on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+m}$ (i.e. set of all monomials in indeterminate $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$) as follows:

$$x^{\alpha^{(1)}} y^{\beta^{(1)}} \prec_{[x \succ y]} x^{\alpha^{(2)}} y^{\beta^{(2)}} \iff \begin{cases} \alpha^{(1)} \prec_x \alpha^{(2)} \\ \text{or} \\ \alpha^{(1)} = \alpha^{(2)} \text{ and } \beta^{(1)} \prec_y \beta^{(2)} \end{cases},$$

where $\alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ and $\beta^{(1)}, \beta^{(2)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$. The term order $\prec_{[x \succ y]}$ is called elimination order with the x variables larger than the y variables (which is indeed a term order).

Elimination Ideal: l^{th} -elimination ideal of an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with respect to an elimination order $x_1 \succ x_2 \dots \succ x_n$ is defined as $\mathfrak{a}_l = \mathfrak{a} \cap k[x_{l+1}, \dots, x_n]$.

Elimination Theorem: Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an ideal and let $G \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a Gröbner basis of \mathfrak{a} with respect to term order $x_1 \succ x_2 \succ \dots \succ x_n$. Then for every $0 \leq l \leq n$ the set $G_l = G \cap k[x_{l+1}, \dots, x_n]$ is a Gröbner basis of l^{th} elimination ideal \mathfrak{a}_l .

Application to Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Recall...

The ideal which represents the solutions to likelihood equations

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta_j} = \frac{u_1}{f_1(\theta)} \frac{\partial f_1(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} + \dots + \frac{u_m}{f_m(\theta)} \frac{\partial f_m(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

is

$$\mathbf{a} = \hat{\mathbf{a}} \cap \mathbb{R}[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d] ,$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}} = \left\langle \underbrace{y_1 f_1(\theta) - 1, \dots, y_m f_m(\theta) - 1}_{}, \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^m u_j y_j \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \theta_1}, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^m u_j y_j \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \theta_d}}_{}

---$$

Application to Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Recall...

The ideal which represents the solutions to likelihood equations

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta_j} = \frac{u_1}{f_1(\theta)} \frac{\partial f_1(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} + \dots + \frac{u_m}{f_m(\theta)} \frac{\partial f_m(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

is

$$\mathfrak{a} = \hat{\mathfrak{a}} \cap \mathbb{R}[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d],$$

where

$$\hat{\mathfrak{a}} = \left\langle \underbrace{y_1 f_1(\theta) - 1, \dots, y_m f_m(\theta) - 1}_{m \text{ terms}}, \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^m u_j y_j \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \theta_1}, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^m u_j y_j \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \theta_d}}_{d \text{ terms}} \right\rangle$$

Estimation by Gröbner bases

step 1: Calculate a Gröbner basis \hat{G} for the ideal $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$.

Application to Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Recall...

The ideal which represents the solutions to likelihood equations

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta_j} = \frac{u_1}{f_1(\theta)} \frac{\partial f_1(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} + \dots + \frac{u_m}{f_m(\theta)} \frac{\partial f_m(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

is

$$\mathfrak{a} = \hat{\mathfrak{a}} \cap \mathbb{R}[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d],$$

where

$$\hat{\mathfrak{a}} = \left\langle \underbrace{y_1 f_1(\theta) - 1, \dots, y_m f_m(\theta) - 1}_{m \text{ terms}}, \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^m u_j y_j \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \theta_1}, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^m u_j y_j \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \theta_d}}_{d \text{ terms}} \right\rangle$$

Estimation by Gröbner bases

step 1: Calculate a Gröbner basis \hat{G} for the ideal $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$.

step 2: Polynomials in \hat{G} which doesn't involve y_1, \dots, y_m forms a Gröbner basis whose variety gives the solution to the ML estimation.

Application to Minimax estimation and Feature selection

Recall... Ideal which represents the maximum entropy solution

$$\mathbf{a} = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m (t_i(j) - T_i) \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)} : i = 1, \dots, d \right\rangle$$



Application to Minimax estimation and Feature selection

Recall... Ideal which represents the maximum entropy solution

$$\mathbf{a} = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m (t_i(j) - T_i) \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)} : i = 1, \dots, d \right\rangle$$

Zhu-Mumford method of estimation and feature selection

▷ Let X be a rv, let l be the true distribution. Let p^* be the ME distribution with respect to the feature functions $t_i, i = 1, \dots, d$.

▷ KL-distance from p^* to l is

$$I(l||p^*) = S(p^*) - S(l)$$

▷ Feature selection by minimizing $I(l||p^*)$ with respect to the feature subsets.

▷ Zhu-Mumford algorithm requires estimation of ME-distribution with respect to the various feature subsets.

Application to Minimax estimation and Feature selection

Recall... Ideal which represents the maximum entropy solution

$$\mathbf{a} = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m (t_i(j) - T_i) \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)} : i = 1, \dots, d \right\rangle$$

Zhu-Mumford method of estimation and feature selection

▷ Let X be a rv, let l be the true distribution. Let p^* be the ME distribution with respect to the feature functions $t_i, i = 1, \dots, d$.

▷ KL-distance from p^* to l is

$$I(l||p^*) = S(p^*) - S(l)$$

▷ Feature selection by minimizing $I(l||p^*)$ with respect to the feature subsets.

▷ Zhu-Mumford algorithm requires estimation of ME-distribution with respect to the various feature subsets.

Application of elimination theorem to Zhu-Mumford algorithms...

Embedding ME models in Algebraic Varieties

Algebraic Formulations

Semi-algebraic set: A set $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is called *semi-algebraic set*, if there are two finite collection of polynomials $F \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ and $G \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ such that

$$\Theta = \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(\theta) = 0, \forall f \in F \text{ and } g(\theta) \geq 0, \forall g \in G\} .$$



Algebraic Formulations

Semi-algebraic set: A set $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is called *semi-algebraic set*, if there are two finite collection of polynomials $F \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ and $G \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ such that

$$\Theta = \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(\theta) = 0, \forall f \in F \text{ and } g(\theta) \geq 0, \forall g \in G\} .$$

Statistical model as image of a rational function:

Let Δ_{m-1} be a probability simplex and $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a semi-algebraic set. Let $\kappa : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a rational function such that $\kappa(\Theta) \subseteq \Delta_{m-1}$. Then the image $\mathcal{M} = \kappa(\Theta)$ is a *parametric algebraic statistical model*.

Algebraic Formulations

Semi-algebraic set: A set $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is called *semi-algebraic set*, if there are two finite collection of polynomials $F \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ and $G \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ such that

$$\Theta = \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(\theta) = 0, \forall f \in F \text{ and } g(\theta) \geq 0, \forall g \in G\} .$$

Statistical model as image of a rational function:

Let Δ_{m-1} be a probability simplex and $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a semi-algebraic set. Let $\kappa : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a rational function such that $\kappa(\Theta) \subseteq \Delta_{m-1}$. Then the image $\mathcal{M} = \kappa(\Theta)$ is a *parametric algebraic statistical model*.

How Commutative algebra and Algebraic geometry plays a role:

Parametric representation of statistical models $p_j = f_j(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ can be viewed in three different ways

Algebraic Formulations

Semi-algebraic set: A set $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is called *semi-algebraic set*, if there are two finite collection of polynomials $F \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ and $G \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ such that

$$\Theta = \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(\theta) = 0, \forall f \in F \text{ and } g(\theta) \geq 0, \forall g \in G\} .$$

Statistical model as image of a rational function:

Let Δ_{m-1} be a probability simplex and $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a semi-algebraic set. Let $\kappa : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a rational function such that $\kappa(\Theta) \subseteq \Delta_{m-1}$. Then the image $\mathcal{M} = \kappa(\Theta)$ is a *parametric algebraic statistical model*.

How Commutative algebra and Algebraic geometry plays a role:

Parametric representation of statistical models $p_j = f_j(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ can be viewed in three different ways

- ▷ parametric description of a curve in m dimensional space



Algebraic Formulations

Semi-algebraic set: A set $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is called *semi-algebraic set*, if there are two finite collection of polynomials $F \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ and $G \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ such that

$$\Theta = \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(\theta) = 0, \forall f \in F \text{ and } g(\theta) \geq 0, \forall g \in G\} .$$

Statistical model as image of a rational function:

Let Δ_{m-1} be a probability simplex and $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a semi-algebraic set. Let $\kappa : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^>$ be a rational function such that $\kappa(\Theta) \subseteq \Delta_{m-1}$. Then the image $\mathcal{M} = \kappa(\Theta)$ is a *parametric algebraic statistical model*.

How Commutative algebra and Algebraic geometry plays a role:

Parametric representation of statistical models $p_j = f_j(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ can be viewed in three different ways

- ▷ parametric description of a curve in m dimensional space
 - ▷ homeomorphism of the ring $k[p_1, \dots, p_m]$ to $k[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d]$ identified by $p_j \mapsto f_j(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$
-

Algebraic Formulations

Semi-algebraic set: A set $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is called *semi-algebraic set*, if there are two finite collection of polynomials $F \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ and $G \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ such that

$$\Theta = \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(\theta) = 0, \forall f \in F \text{ and } g(\theta) \geq 0, \forall g \in G\} .$$

Statistical model as image of a rational function:

Let Δ_{m-1} be a probability simplex and $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a semi-algebraic set. Let $\kappa : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^>$ be a rational function such that $\kappa(\Theta) \subseteq \Delta_{m-1}$. Then the image $\mathcal{M} = \kappa(\Theta)$ is a *parametric algebraic statistical model*.

How Commutative algebra and Algebraic geometry plays a role:

Parametric representation of statistical models $p_j = f_j(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ can be viewed in three different ways

- ▷ parametric description of a curve in m dimensional space
 - ▷ homeomorphism of the ring $k[p_1, \dots, p_m]$ to $k[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d]$ identified by $p_j \mapsto f_j(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$
 - ▷ solution of polynomial equations (then projected to k^m) $p_j - f_j(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) = 0$
-

Implicit ME-model: Main Theorem

Given positive integer valued functions $t_i, i = 1, \dots, d$ we have maximum entropy model as image of

$$\begin{aligned} f : k^d &\rightarrow k^m - W \\ (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) &\mapsto \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(1)}}{\sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)}}, \dots, \frac{\prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(m)}}{\sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)}} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $W = \mathcal{V}(\sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)})$

Implicit ME-model: Main Theorem

Given positive integer valued functions $t_i, i = 1, \dots, d$ we have maximum entropy model as image of

$$\begin{aligned}
 f : k^d &\rightarrow k^m - W \\
 (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) &\mapsto \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(1)}}{\sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)}}, \dots, \frac{\prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(m)}}{\sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)}} \right) .
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where $W = \mathcal{V}(\sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(m)})$

theorem Let f be a polynomial functions which parameterize maximum entropy model with respect to sufficient statistic $t_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ according to (28). Then

$$\text{im}(f) \subseteq \underbrace{\mathcal{V}(\ker(\tilde{f}^*))}_{\cap} \underbrace{\mathcal{V}(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1)} \tag{24}$$

Implicit ME-model: Main Theorem

Given positive integer valued functions $t_i, i = 1, \dots, d$ we have maximum entropy model as image of

$$\begin{aligned}
 f : k^d &\rightarrow k^m - W \\
 (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) &\mapsto \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(1)}}{\sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)}}, \dots, \frac{\prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(m)}}{\sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)}} \right) .
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $W = \mathcal{V}(\sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)})$

theorem Let f be a polynomial functions which parameterize maximum entropy model with respect to sufficient statistic $t_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ according to (28). Then

$$\text{im}(f) \subseteq \underbrace{\mathcal{V}(\ker(\tilde{f}^*))}_{\text{kernel}} \cap \underbrace{\mathcal{V}\left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1\right)}_{\text{sum}} \tag{26}$$

where \tilde{f}^* is a k -algebra homeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{f}^* : k[p_1, \dots, p_m] &\rightarrow k[\theta_0, \dots, \theta_d] \\
 p_j &\mapsto \theta_0 \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)} .
 \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Implicit ME-model: Main Theorem

Given positive integer valued functions $t_i, i = 1, \dots, d$ we have maximum entropy model as image of

$$\begin{aligned}
 f : k^d &\rightarrow k^m - W \\
 (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) &\mapsto \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(1)}}{\sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)}}, \dots, \frac{\prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(m)}}{\sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)}} \right) .
 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where $W = \mathcal{V}(\sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(m)})$

theorem Let f be a polynomial functions which parameterize maximum entropy model with respect to sufficient statistic $t_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ according to (28). Then

$$\text{im}(f) \subseteq \underbrace{\mathcal{V}(\ker(\tilde{f}^*))}_{\text{closure}} \cap \underbrace{\mathcal{V}(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1)}_{\text{closure}} \tag{29}$$

where \tilde{f}^* is a k -algebra homeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{f}^* : k[p_1, \dots, p_m] &\rightarrow k[\theta_0, \dots, \theta_d] \\
 p_j &\mapsto \theta_0 \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)} .
 \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

***The inclusion should be understand from the point of “closure” or “Zariski Closure”

Ideal and Gröbner bases representation

Corollary:

$$\text{im}(f) \subseteq \underbrace{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a} \cap k[p_1, \dots, p_m])}_{\mathcal{V}(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1)} \cap \underbrace{\mathcal{V}(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1)} \quad , \quad (31)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{a} = \left\langle p_j - \theta_0 \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_1(j)} : j = 1, \dots, m \right\rangle \subseteq k[p_1, \dots, p_m, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d]$$



Ideal and Gröbner bases representation

Corollary:

$$\text{im}(f) \subseteq \underbrace{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a} \cap k[p_1, \dots, p_m])}_{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a} \cap k[p_1, \dots, p_m])} \cap \underbrace{\mathcal{V}\left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1\right)}_{\mathcal{V}\left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1\right)}, \quad (32)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{a} = \left\langle p_j - \theta_0 \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_1(j)} : j = 1, \dots, m \right\rangle \subseteq k[p_1, \dots, p_m, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d]$$

Corollary: (By Elimination theorem)

$$\text{im}(f) \subseteq \underbrace{\mathcal{V}(G \cap k[p_1, \dots, p_m])}_{\mathcal{V}(G \cap k[p_1, \dots, p_m])} \cap \underbrace{\mathcal{V}\left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1\right)}_{\mathcal{V}\left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1\right)}, \quad (33)$$

where G is the Gröbner basis of

$$\mathfrak{a} = \left\langle p_j - \theta_0 \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_1(j)} : j = 1, \dots, m \right\rangle \subseteq k[p_1, \dots, p_m, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d]$$

Ideal and Gröbner bases representation

Corollary:

$$\text{im}(f) \subseteq \underbrace{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a} \cap k[p_1, \dots, p_m])}_{\mathcal{V}(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1)} \cap \underbrace{\mathcal{V}(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1)}_{\mathcal{V}(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1)} , \quad (34)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{a} = \left\langle p_j - \theta_0 \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_1(j)} : j = 1, \dots, m \right\rangle \subseteq k[p_1, \dots, p_m, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d]$$

Corollary: (By Elimination theorem)

$$\text{im}(f) \subseteq \underbrace{\mathcal{V}(G \cap k[p_1, \dots, p_m])}_{\mathcal{V}(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1)} \cap \underbrace{\mathcal{V}(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1)}_{\mathcal{V}(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j - 1)} , \quad (35)$$

where G is the Gröbner basis of

$$\mathfrak{a} = \left\langle p_j - \theta_0 \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_1(j)} : j = 1, \dots, m \right\rangle \subseteq k[p_1, \dots, p_m, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d]$$

with a term order $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \prec \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d\}$

ME model as a rational parameterization

Theorem

$$\text{im}(f) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{b} \cap k[p_1, \dots, p_m]) , \quad (36)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{b} = \left\langle Zp_j - \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{t_i(j)} : j = 1, \dots, m, 1 - Z^m y \right\rangle \subseteq k[p_1, \dots, p_m, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d, y]$$

(Z is the partition function)

**** probably difficult to compute

Example

$$p_1 = \theta_0 \theta_1^2 \theta_2$$

$$p_2 = \theta_0 \theta_1 \theta_2^2$$

$$p_3 = \theta_0 \theta_1^3 \theta_2$$

$$p_4 = \theta_0 \theta_1 \theta_2^4$$

$$p_5 = \theta_0 \theta_1^5 \theta_2^3$$

$$p_6 = \theta_0 \theta_1^2 \theta_2^3$$

$$p_7 = \theta_0 \theta_1 \theta_2$$

Example (Contd...)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & j + x y, a y - c, a z - b y, f z - d y, a g - c x, a b - f g, b f - d a, b^2 - d g, b y^2 - c z, g z y - a, b z y - f, \\
 & f g y - b c, b c y - e x, g z^2 - b, c z^2 - f y, b z^2 - d, e x z - f c, c x z - b g y, g^2 z - a x, e g z - f c y, \\
 & c g z - a^2, b g z - f x, c^2 z - e g, d c z - f^2, b c z - f a, f g^2 - b c x, b c g - e x^2, a^3 - e g x, c a^2 - e g^2, \\
 & f a^2 - b e x, d a^2 - f^2 g, f c a - b e g, f^2 a - d e x, d c^2 - f e x, b c^2 - a e x, f^2 c - d e g, f y^3 - e, d g y^2 - f a, \\
 & f c y^2 - a e, d c y^2 - b e, f^2 y^2 - b e z, e g y^2 - a c, d g y^2 - f a x, b g y^2 - a x, b e g y - f c, d c g y - b e x, \\
 & b d g y - f^2 x, f c y^2 - a e, d c y^2 - f^2, f^2 y - b d e, e g^3 - c a x, d g^3 - f a x, b g^3 - a x, b e g^2 - f c x, \\
 & d c g^2 - b e x, b d g^2 - f^2 x, f c g^2 - a e x, d c g^2 - f^2 x, f^2 g - b d e x, f c^3 - e g x, d y^4 - e z, d e g y - f^5, \\
 & d^3 e g - f^5 x, f^6 - b d^3 c e]
 \end{aligned}$$

Change of symbols

$p_1 \rightarrow a, p_2 \rightarrow b, p_3 \rightarrow c, p_4 \rightarrow d, p_5 \rightarrow e, p_6 \rightarrow f, p_7 \rightarrow g$

and

$\theta_0 \rightarrow x, \theta_1 \rightarrow y, \theta_2 \rightarrow z$

Example (Contd...)

Maximum entropy model is contained in

$$V_{model} = \mathcal{V}(p_1 p_2 - p_6 p_7, \\ p_2 p_6 - p_4 p_1, \\ p_2 - p_4 p_7, \\ p_3 p_1^2 - p_5 p_7^2, \\ p_4 p_1^2 - p_6^2 p_7, \\ p_6 p_3 p_1 - p_2 p_5 p_7, \\ p_6^2 p_3 - p_4 p_5 p_7, \\ p_6^6 - p_2 p_4^3 p_3 p_5 \\ \sum_{i=1}^7 p_i - 1)$$



Example (Contd...)

Maximum entropy model is contained in

$$V_{model} = \mathcal{V}(p_1 p_2 - p_6 p_7, \\ p_2 p_6 - p_4 p_1, \\ p_2 - p_4 p_7, \\ p_3 p_1^2 - p_5 p_7^2, \\ p_4 p_1^2 - p_6^2 p_7, \\ p_6 p_3 p_1 - p_2 p_5 p_7, \\ p_6^2 p_3 - p_4 p_5 p_7, \\ p_6^6 - p_2 p_4^3 p_3 p_5 \\ \sum_{i=1}^7 p_i - 1)$$

Maximum entropy distribution is contained in $V_{model} \cap V_{data}$.

Summary

Parametric

- ▷ Estimation of ME models can be transformed to solving system of polynomial equations
 - Primal – System of polynomial equations
 - Dual – System of Laurent polynomial equations
 - Kullback-Csiszár – A triangular system (A decreasing sequence of dimension of quotient vector spaces modulo ideals)
-

Summary

Parametric

- ▷ Estimation of ME models can be transformed to solving system of polynomial equations
 - Primal – System of polynomial equations
 - Dual – System of Laurent polynomial equations
 - Kullback-Csiszár – A triangular system (A decreasing sequence of dimension of quotient vector spaces modulo ideals)
 - ▷ Gröbner bases can be used in the estimation of ME-models
-

Summary

Parametric

- ▷ Estimation of ME models can be transformed to solving system of polynomial equations
 - Primal – System of polynomial equations
 - Dual – System of Laurent polynomial equations
 - Kullback-Csiszár – A triangular system (A decreasing sequence of dimension of quotient vector spaces modulo ideals)
 - ▷ Gröbner bases can be used in the estimation of ME-models
 - ▷ Elimination theorem comes in handy (for both ML and ME)
-

Summary

Parametric

- ▷ Estimation of ME models can be transformed to solving system of polynomial equations
 - Primal – System of polynomial equations
 - Dual – System of Laurent polynomial equations
 - Kullback-Csiszár – A triangular system (A decreasing sequence of dimension of quotient vector spaces modulo ideals)
- ▷ Gröbner bases can be used in the estimation of ME-models
- ▷ Elimination theorem comes in handy (for both ML and ME)

Implicit

- ▷ ME-models can be treated with Toric ideals (One can relax positivity of feature functions)
-

Summary

Parametric

- ▷ Estimation of ME models can be transformed to solving system of polynomial equations
 - Primal – System of polynomial equations
 - Dual – System of Laurent polynomial equations
 - Kullback-Csiszár – A triangular system (A decreasing sequence of dimension of quotient vector spaces modulo ideals)
- ▷ Gröbner bases can be used in the estimation of ME-models
- ▷ Elimination theorem comes in handy (for both ML and ME)

Implicit

- ▷ ME-models can be treated with Toric ideals (One can relax positivity of feature functions)
 - ▷ ME-models can be embedded in Toric varieties (elegant but can we characterize the margin)
-

Concluding Remarks

Potential Problems

- ▷ Elimination in “implicit models”



Concluding Remarks

Potential Problems

- ▷ Elimination in “implicit models”
 - ▷ “Zero dimensional ideals” and “ k -vector dimension of quotient ring modulo ideal” describing ME models
-

Concluding Remarks

Potential Problems

- ▷ Elimination in “implicit models”
 - ▷ “Zero dimensional ideals” and “ k -vector dimension of quotient ring modulo ideal” describing ME models
 - ▷ Universal Gröbner bases, term order
-

Concluding Remarks

Potential Problems

- ▷ Elimination in “implicit models”
- ▷ “Zero dimensional ideals” and “ k -vector dimension of quotient ring modulo ideal” describing ME models
- ▷ Universal Gröbner bases, term order

Finally...

In the case of ME, both model and data can be represented by algebraic varieties ‘‘implicitly’’

Concluding Remarks

Potential Problems

- ▷ Elimination in “implicit models”
- ▷ “Zero dimensional ideals” and “ k -vector dimension of quotient ring modulo ideal” describing ME models
- ▷ Universal Gröbner bases, term order

Finally...

In the case of ME, both model and data can be represented by algebraic varieties ‘‘implicitly’’--- probably this result paves a way to algebraic geometry of information theoretic statistics
