

# The Rate Distortion Test

## Second Entropy Workshop at EPFL

Peter Harremoës and Nisheeth Srivastava

CWI, Amsterdam

September 9, 2008

# Outline of talk

- Classical theory of testing goodness-of-fit
- The idea
- The rate distortion test
- Some results on why this is not a bad idea
- Conclusion

# The Neyman Pearson Lemma

Consider the hypotheses  $Q = P_1$  vs.  $Q = P_0$ . For  $r \in [0; 1]$  let  $Ac(r)$  be the acceptance region defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Ac(r) &= \left\{ \omega \mid \frac{d(P_1^n)}{d(P_0^n)}(\omega) \geq r \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \omega \mid E_{Emp_n(\omega)} \left[ \log \frac{d(P_1)}{d(P_0)} \right] \geq \frac{1}{n} \log r \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

## Theorem (Neyman-Pearson Lemma)

*Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be independent distributed according to  $Q$ . Let the error probabilities be defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0^* &= P_0^n(Ac(r)); \\ \alpha_1^* &= P_1^n(\complement Ac(r)). \end{aligned}$$

*Let  $B$  be another decision region with error probabilities  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$ . Then if  $\alpha_0 \leq \alpha_0^*$  then  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_1^*$ .*

# Testing goodness-of-fit

- Consider a random variable with an unknown continuous distribution function  $F$

# Testing goodness-of-fit

- Consider a random variable with an unknown continuous distribution function  $F$
- Based on a sample of size  $n$  we want to test the hypothesis that  $F = G$  for some known distribution function  $G$ .

# Testing goodness-of-fit

- Consider a random variable with an unknown continuous distribution function  $F$
- Based on a sample of size  $n$  we want to test the hypothesis that  $F = G$  for some known distribution function  $G$ .
- Divide  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $k$  bins.

# Testing goodness-of-fit

- Consider a random variable with an unknown continuous distribution function  $F$
- Based on a sample of size  $n$  we want to test the hypothesis that  $F = G$  for some known distribution function  $G$ .
- Divide  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $k$  bins.
- Popular choice is  $k$  interval with equal probability according to  $G$ , i.e.

$$\left[ G^{-1} \left( \frac{j-1}{k} \right); G^{-1} \left( \frac{j}{k} \right) \right]$$

where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

# Testing goodness-of-fit

- Consider a random variable with an unknown continuous distribution function  $F$
- Based on a sample of size  $n$  we want to test the hypothesis that  $F = G$  for some known distribution function  $G$ .
- Divide  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $k$  bins.
- Popular choice is  $k$  interval with equal probability according to  $G$ , i.e.

$$\left[ G^{-1} \left( \frac{j-1}{k} \right); G^{-1} \left( \frac{j}{k} \right) \right]$$

where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

- Test if the empirical distribution on the bins is uniform.



# Testing goodness-of-fit

- Consider a random variable with an unknown continuous distribution function  $F$
- Based on a sample of size  $n$  we want to test the hypothesis that  $F = G$  for some known distribution function  $G$ .
- Divide  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $k$  bins.
- Popular choice is  $k$  interval with equal probability according to  $G$ , i.e.

$$\left[ G^{-1} \left( \frac{j-1}{k} \right); G^{-1} \left( \frac{j}{k} \right) \right]$$

where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

- Test if the empirical distribution on the bins is uniform.
- Let  $k$  grow with the sample size  $n$ .

# Power divergence statistics

The goodness-of-fit statistic is usually one of the *power divergence statistics* defined by

$$D_{\alpha}(P, Q) = \sum_{j=1}^k q_j \phi_{\alpha} \left( \frac{p_j}{q_j} \right), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$$

for the power function  $\phi_{\alpha}$  of order  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  given in the domain  $t > 0$  by the formula

$$\phi_{\alpha}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{\alpha} - \alpha(t-1) - 1}{\alpha(\alpha-1)} & \text{when } \alpha(\alpha-1) \neq 0 \\ -\ln t + t - 1 & \text{when } \alpha = 0 \\ t \ln t - t + 1 & \text{when } \alpha = 1 \end{cases} .$$

# Important examples

- The Pearson statistic ( $\alpha = 2$ ),
  - The Neyman statistic ( $\alpha = -1$ ),
  - The log-likelihood ratio ( $\alpha = 1$ ),
  - The reversed log-likelihood ratio ( $\alpha = 0$ )
  - The Freeman-Tukey statistic ( $\alpha = 1/2$ ).
- 
- Note that

$$D_\alpha(P, U) = \frac{k^{\alpha-1} \text{IC}_\alpha(P) - 1}{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}$$

where  $\text{IC}_\alpha$  is the *index of coincidence*

$$\text{IC}_\alpha(P) = \sum_{j=1}^k p_j^\alpha = e^{(1-\alpha)H_\alpha(P)} .$$

and  $H_\alpha(P)$  is the *Rényi entropy* of order  $\alpha$

# Efficiency

- The test is efficient in the sense of Lehman and hodge.

# Efficiency

- The test is efficient in the sense of Lehman and hodge.
- If  $n/k$  tend to a constant then the Pearson statistic is most Pitman efficient.

# Efficiency

- The test is efficient in the sense of Lehman and hodge.
- If  $n/k$  tend to a constant then the Pearson statistic is most Pitman efficient.
- We focus on the typical situation where  $k = k_n$  satisfies a conditions of the type

$$\frac{n}{k \log k} \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

# Efficiency

- The test is efficient in the sense of Lehman and Hodge.
- If  $n/k$  tend to a constant then the Pearson statistic is most Pitman efficient.
- We focus on the typical situation where  $k = k_n$  satisfies a conditions of the type

$$\frac{n}{k \log k} \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- In this case the *Pitman asymptotic relative efficiencies* of all statistics  $D_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  coincide.

# Efficiency

- The test is efficient in the sense of Lehman and Hodge.
- If  $n/k$  tend to a constant then the Pearson statistic is most Pitman efficient.
- We focus on the typical situation where  $k = k_n$  satisfies a conditions of the type

$$\frac{n}{k \log k} \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- In this case the *Pitman asymptotic relative efficiencies* of all statistics  $D_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  coincide.
- In this situation preferences between these statistics must be based on the *Bahadur efficiencies*  $\text{BE}(D_{\alpha_1} | D_{\alpha_2})$ .



# Efficiency

- The test is efficient in the sense of Lehman and Hodge.
- If  $n/k$  tend to a constant then the Pearson statistic is most Pitman efficient.
- We focus on the typical situation where  $k = k_n$  satisfies a conditions of the type

$$\frac{n}{k \log k} \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- In this case the *Pitman asymptotic relative efficiencies* of all statistics  $D_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  coincide.
- In this situation preferences between these statistics must be based on the *Bahadur efficiencies*  $\text{BE}(D_{\alpha_1} | D_{\alpha_2})$ .
- $\text{BE}(D_1 | D_2) = \infty$  (Quine and Robinson, 1985).

# Consistency

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and a sequence of alternatives  $P_n$  we say that the model satisfies the *Bahadur condition* if there exists a constant  $\Delta_\alpha > 0$  such that

$$D_\alpha(P_n, U) = \Delta_\alpha .$$

The statistic  $D_\alpha(\hat{P}_n, U)$  is *consistent* if the Bahadur condition holds and

$$D_\alpha(\hat{P}_n, U) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{under } U \text{ in probability}$$

$$D_\alpha(\hat{P}_n, U) \longrightarrow \Delta_\alpha \quad \text{under } P_n \text{ in probability.}$$

## Theorem

The divergence  $D_\alpha(\hat{P}_n, U)$  is consistent if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{n} = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \in [0; 2],$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k \log k}{n} = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha > 2.$$

Consistency holds for all  $f$ -divergences that are uniformly continuous.

# Bahadur function and Bahadur efficiency

- For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  we say that the Bahadur function for the statistic  $D_\alpha(\hat{P}_n, U)$  exists if there exists a sequence  $c_{\alpha,n} > 0$  and a continuous function  $g_\alpha : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that under  $\mathcal{H}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{c_{\alpha,n}}{n} \ln P(D_\alpha(\hat{P}_n, U) \geq \Delta) = g_\alpha(\Delta), \quad \Delta > 0.$$

# Bahadur function and Bahadur efficiency

- For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  we say that the Bahadur function for the statistic  $D_\alpha(\hat{P}_n, U)$  exists if there exists a sequence  $c_{\alpha,n} > 0$  and a continuous function  $g_\alpha : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that under  $\mathcal{H}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{c_{\alpha,n}}{n} \ln P(D_\alpha(\hat{P}_n, U) \geq \Delta) = g_\alpha(\Delta), \quad \Delta > 0.$$

- Assume that the statistics  $D_{\alpha_1}(\hat{P}_n, U)$  and  $D_{\alpha_2}(\hat{P}_n, U)$  are consistent and that the corresponding Bahadur functions  $g_{\alpha_1}$  and  $g_{\alpha_2}$  exist. The Bahadur efficiency is defined by

$$BE(D_{\alpha_1} | D_{\alpha_2}) = \frac{g_{\alpha_1}(\Delta_{\alpha_1})}{g_{\alpha_2}(\Delta_{\alpha_2})} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_{\alpha_1,n}}{c_{\alpha_2,n}}.$$

## Case $\alpha \geq 1$

### Theorem

If  $k = k_n$  increases so slowly that

$$\frac{n}{k \log k} \rightarrow \infty$$

then the Bahadur efficiency of the statistic  $D_{\alpha_1}$  with respect to  $D_{\alpha_2}$  satisfies the relation

$$\text{BE}(D_{\alpha_1} \mid D_{\alpha_2}) = \infty$$

for all  $1 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ .

### Proof.

See Harremoës and Vajda IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory Jan. 2008 and Haremoës and Vajda ISIT 2008. □

# Case $0 < \alpha \leq 1$

## Theorem

If  $k = k_n$  increases so slowly that

$$\frac{n}{k \log k} \rightarrow \infty$$

then the Bahadur efficiency of the statistic  $D_{\alpha_1}$  with respect to  $D_{\alpha_2}$  satisfies the relation

$$\text{BE}(D_{\alpha_1} | D_{\alpha_2}) = \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2}$$

for all  $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 \leq 1$ .

## Proof.

The extreme case is a sequence of alternatives that are uniform on subsets. In this case

$$D_{\alpha_1}(P_n \| U) = D_{\alpha_2}(P_n \| U) = \log \frac{|\text{support of } P_n|}{k}.$$

# Discussion

A core observation is that

$$\inf_{D_\alpha(P,U) \geq \Delta} D(P,U) \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{for } \alpha > 1 \\ > 0 & \text{for } \alpha \in ]0; 1[ \end{cases} .$$

**Absolute continuity** Note that  $D(P\|Q) < \infty$  implies that  $P \ll Q$ .

**Contiguity** Similarly  $D(P_n\|Q_n) \rightarrow \Delta$  implies that  $P_n \triangleleft Q_n$ .

Assume that  $P = \delta_a$  and  $Q$  is continuous. Then  $P_n \not\triangleleft Q_n$ .

## Theorem (Informal version)

*Information divergence is more Bahadur efficient than any Rényi divergence of order  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$  for testing a  $P$  against  $Q$  when  $P \not\ll Q$  except if  $P \perp Q$ .*

In practice  $D_a$  is not very efficient if  $D(P\|Q)$  is large.

# Open questions

- How many bins should be chosen? I.e. How should we choose  $k$  as a function of  $n$ ?
- How should we choose the shapes of the bins?
- Should the bins be chosen with equal probability or are some less uniform choice of bins better?



# A different approach to testing

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  denote a sequence of binary random variables. We want to test the null hypothesis that they come from a Bernoulli  $(1/2, 1/2)$ -source.

If  $H_0$  is true the entropy of the sequence is maximal and it is not possible to compress it.

Choose your favorite data compressor and see how much it is able to compress  $X_1^n$ .

If no or only a little compression is obtained accept  $H_0$ . Otherwise reject.

## A rate distortion version of the previous idea

Let  $d : \mathcal{X} \times \hat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  be a distortion function. At some distortion level  $d_0$  rate distortion theory tells us how optimally to compress data at distortion level  $d_0$  if the distribution of  $X$  is  $Q$ . Compress data into a binary sequence and test if the sequence is Bernoulli  $(1/2, 1/2)$ .

Problems:

- Depends on data compressor.
- Is hard to analyse.

# The rate distortion test

Let  $d : \mathcal{X} \times \hat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow R$  be a distortion function and  $Q$  a probability distribution on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Choose a sequence of distortion levels  $d_n$  such that  $d_n \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For each  $n$  find the Markov kernel  $\Psi_n$  such that  $\Psi_n$  gives the optimal coupling corresponding to distortion level  $d_n$ . Use

$$D(\Psi_n(\text{Emp}_n(\omega)) \parallel \Psi_n(Q))$$

as statistic.

## Example: test of uniformity

We consider a set  $A$  with  $I$  elements. The set has no particular structure so we use Hamming distortion as distortion function. Our null hypothesis is  $P = U$  where  $u$  denotes the uniform distribution on  $A$ . In this case the Markov kernel  $\Psi_{d_0}$  has the form

$$\Psi_{d_0} : x \rightarrow \alpha \delta_x + (1 - \alpha) U$$

for some value  $\alpha \in [0; 1]$  determined by  $d_0$ . The Markov kernel maps the uniform distribution into the uniform distribution. Therefore the statistic of the rate distortion test has the form

$$D(\alpha \text{Emp}_n(\omega) + (1 - \alpha) U \| U).$$

If  $\alpha$  is small the statistic can be approximated by the Pearson statistic that is Pitman efficient.

## Example: Test of normality

We consider the real numbers with squared Euclidian distance as distortion function. Our null hypothesis is  $P = \Phi$  where  $\Phi$  denotes the standard Gaussian distribution. The optimal Markov kernel for the rate distortion problem sends  $x$  into the distribution of  $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha^2)^{1/2} Z$  where  $Z$  is a standard Gaussian random variable. We see that the Gaussian distribution is mapped into it self. Thus the statistic of the rate distortion test is

$$D\left(\alpha X + (1 - \alpha^2)^{1/2} Z \parallel \Phi\right)$$

where we have identified the random variable  $\alpha X + (1 - \alpha^2)^{1/2} Z$  with its distribution. This Markov kernel can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} D\left(\alpha X + (1 - \alpha^2)^{1/2} Z \parallel \Phi\right) \\ = D\left(X + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1\right)^{1/2} Z \parallel \Phi(0, \alpha^2)\right) \end{aligned}$$

so the Markov kernels essentially smooth data by adding an independent Gaussian random variable with variance  $\alpha^{-2} - 1$ . The idea of smoothing data is well-known in statistics.

## Example: Angular data

We consider data with values on the circle  $s_1$  that we can identify with  $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . As distortion function we shall use  $4 \cos^2 \left( \frac{\theta_2 - \theta_1}{2} \right)$ . We shall test the hypothesis  $P = U$  where  $U$  denotes the uniform distribution on the circle. The optimal Markov kernel is a smoothing by adding a von Mises distribution

$$\frac{\exp(\kappa \cos(\theta))}{2\pi I_0(\kappa)}$$

where  $I_0$  is the modified Bessel function of order 0 with parameter  $\kappa$  determined by the distortion level. The Markov kernel maps the uniform distribution into the uniform distribution.

# Consistency

If data is generated by  $P$  then

$$D(\Psi_n(\text{Emp}_n(\omega)) \parallel \Psi_n(Q)) \rightarrow D(P \parallel Q)$$

in probability.

# Efficiency

- The rate distortion test is efficient in the sense of Hodge and Lehman.
- We have no results on the Pitman efficiency of the rate distortion test but conjecture that it is Pitman efficient under regularity conditions.
- What can be said about Bahadur efficiency?



# Exponential families

Let  $P_\mu$  denote an exponential family in its mean value representation.  
Define a distortion function by

$$d(\lambda, \mu) = D(P_\lambda \| P_\mu).$$

Then  $d$  is a Bregman divergence and characterizes the exponential family.  
The rate distortion test is Bahadur efficient against alternatives in the exponential family.

# Bahadur efficiency

## Theorem

*Let  $G$  denote a compact group and let  $d$  denote a distortion function that is continuous and invariant under the group action. Then the rate distortion test is Bahadur efficient.*

This result can be extended to compact sets under regularity conditions for which we have no counterexamples.

# Conclusion

- In the rate distortion test the question of the shape and probability of the bins can be replaced with the question of choosing a distortion function.
- The question of the number of bins (or the rate of the rate distortion test) can be discussed without confusion of the other now solved problems.
- All our results on efficiency are positive.

# Open questions

- Asymptotic normality.
- More efficiency results.